| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 10/534,087 | LI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | MICHAEL WILSON | 1794 |
| All Participants: | nts: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | |
| (1) MICHAEL WILSON. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Mr. Anderson</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 9 September 2009 | Time: <u>9:30 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: . | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 11 and 14 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Mr. Anderson agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to correct m being in condition for Allowance | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the | ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (. | Applicant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |